

Title:C E Pollard Sworn In For The State, 40th To Testify
Category:LEO FRANK TRIAL BRIEF OF EVIDENCE

C. E. POLLARD, sworn for the Defendant.

I am an expert accountant. I was called into this matter for the purpose of seeing the length of time it would take to gather these figures and get the result on the financial sheet and other papers that were furnished me. I studied each sheet and when I was sure of what the result would be I would lay that sheet down and make a copy of it. I would take time myself for each operation. There was a discrepancy of one and one-half gross on the factory records in the figures, out of 2765 1/2 gross, (Defendant's Exhibit 2). It was an immaterial error. The minimum time that

I could do that work in I found to be three hours and 11 minutes, that was as quick as I could do it. If I had been interrupted in my work, of course it would have taken me longer. I have been an expert accountant for 15 or 16 years. The mistake that I found occurred on the Saturday of the week before. It was not Frank's mistake, but somebody else compiled the figures for that week. There is another trifling mistake under

the head of "value of products, pencils packed" that did not figure the same as mine. Those are the only two mistakes I found on the whole financial sheet-a mistake of 50c. and a gross and a half of pencils.

CROSS EXAMINATION.

In making my experiment of how long it would take, I was furnished with all my data. I didn't have to get up any of the data. I am considered rapid in my work. The mistake of one and a half gross occurred on April 18th and 19th. I don't know whose mistake it was. Anybody can work on his books with a great deal more ease than an outsider can. The mistake I mentioned did not make the other calculations wrong, the other calculations were all right. The mistake grew out of just one multiplication.

In multiplying 791 gross at 50.1 cents, Frank made the total \$396. 75, instead of \$396.29.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION.

In making out this sheet Mr. Frank had to make about 40 multiplications, 160 additions. The mistake is not a serious one.

HERBERT G. SCHIFF, recalled for cross examination.

`The books show that \$4 was loaned to Arthur White. I made the entry in the book. The \$2. was for what Mr. Frank loaned him that day and \$2.00 loaned him the middle of next week. As to where the entry is that Mr. Frank lent Arthur White \$2. these slips are not kept after we take it off. After the pay roll is made we destroy those. The books show that this \$2. was added to the other \$2. There was approximately \$1100. paid off on Friday on the pay roll. There was about 5 or 6 envelopes left over, not called for. The numbers go on different places on

the envelopes. The clocks we have now are the same we had when Gantt was there. Whenever there was any trouble we phoned for a man to look after the clock.

RE-CROSS EXAMINATION.

Whenever accidents would happen in the factory we would have the person come to the office, to the outer office, where we would bandage their hands with the few medical supplies we keep there. Then we make a report to the insurance company as to the cause of the accident and any witnesses. We always found the clocks kept good time.